

2012

Evaluation of the GP Super Clinics Program 2007-2008

August 2012



Table of Contents

1	Executive Summary.....	1
1.1	Policy to Program Implementation.....	1
1.2	Significant Investment in Infrastructure for Primary Care.....	2
1.3	Compliance with GP Super Clinics Program Objectives.....	3
1.4	Areas for Service Development	4
1.5	GP Super Clinics Program Learnings.....	5
1.6	System Learnings.....	5
1.7	Summary	6
2	Introduction	7
2.1	Scope of Evaluation	7
2.2	Background	7
2.3	Program Objectives.....	9
2.4	What is a GP Super Clinic?	9
2.5	The Need for Reform	10
2.6	Primary Care Critical to Health Outcomes and Equity	10
2.7	Models for Primary Care and General Practice.....	10
3	Evaluation Aims and Methods.....	12
3.1	Evaluation Aims.....	12
3.2	Evaluation Methods.....	12
3.2.1	Methods for Implementation Aspect.....	12
3.2.2	Methods for Establishment Phase.....	14
3.2.3	Methods for Operations Aspect.....	15
3.2.4	Statistical Analyses for Surveys	18
3.3	Sample Sizes	19
3.3.1	Patient Survey.....	19

3.3.2	Clinician Survey	19
3.4	Structure of This Report.....	19
4	Implementation Aspect.....	20
4.1	Policy Alignment.....	20
4.2	Governance.....	20
4.3	Compliance with Regulatory Requirements	20
4.4	Capacity Building within the GP Super Clinics Branch	21
4.5	The GP Super Clinics National Program Guide 2008	23
4.6	Evolution of the GP Super Clinics Program.....	23
4.6.1	The GP Super Clinics National Program Guide 2008.....	23
4.6.2	Reporting Requirements	24
4.7	Invitation to Apply Processes.....	24
4.7.1	Consultation in GP Super Clinic Locations	24
4.7.2	Assessment Panels for GP Super Clinics	24
4.8	Factors Impacting on the Implementation of the GP Super Clinics Program	25
5	Establishment Aspect.....	27
5.1	Outcomes on Project Milestones	27
5.2	Perspectives on Delays.....	28
5.3	Types of Delays.....	29
5.3.1	Land Acquisition.....	29
5.3.2	Development Approval Processes	31
5.3.3	Funding Approval Processes.....	33
5.3.4	Tender Documentation Processes	33
5.3.5	Appointment of Builder	34
5.3.6	Construction Completion	34
5.4	Building Construction for Delivery of Primary Care	35

5.5	Value for Money	35
6	Operations Aspect.....	36
6.1	Overview of Participants	36
6.1.1	GP Super Clinic Directors.....	36
6.1.2	Patients	36
6.1.3	Clinicians.....	39
6.1.4	Community Stakeholders.....	40
6.2	Multi-disciplinary and Integrated Care	40
6.2.1	Multi-disciplinary Care	40
6.2.2	Integrated Care	43
6.2.3	Factors Impacting on Provision of Multi-disciplinary and Integrated Care	43
6.2.4	Clinical Governance.....	45
6.2.5	Self-management.....	46
6.3	Responsiveness to Local Community.....	46
6.4	Accessible Primary Health Care	47
6.5	Preventative Care.....	49
6.6	Effective Use of Information Technology.....	51
6.7	Recruiting and Retaining the Primary Care Workforce	51
6.8	High Quality Best Practice Care	54
6.9	Viable, Sustainable and Efficient Business Models.....	55
6.10	Support for Future Primary Care Workforce.....	55
6.11	Integration with Local Programs and Initiatives	56
7	Discussion – GP Super Clinics Program Maturing	57
7.1	Implementation Aspect - Policy to Program	57
7.1.1	GP Super Clinics Branch Capacity.....	57
7.1.2	Managing a Long Term Program.....	58

7.1.3	Recommendations - Implementation Aspect	59
7.2	The Establishment Aspect - Managing Funding for Construction Projects	59
7.2.1	Evolution in Establishment Aspect	60
7.2.2	Managing Delays in the Construction Processes	60
7.2.3	Recommendations – Establishment Aspect.....	63
7.2.4	Value for Money.....	64
7.2.5	Recommendations – Value for Money	66
7.3	Progress towards Achieving the GP Super Clinics Program Objectives	66
7.3.1	Multi-disciplinary Care	66
7.3.2	Responsiveness to Local Community Needs.....	69
7.3.3	Accessible, Culturally Appropriate and Affordable care.....	70
7.3.4	Preventative Health Care.....	70
7.3.5	Effective Use of Information Technology	71
7.3.6	Impact on Primary Care Workforce	71
7.3.7	Quality in Primary Health Care	72
7.3.8	Efficient and Sustainable Business Models	74
7.3.9	Support for the Future Primary Care Workforce	74
7.3.10	Integration with Local Programs and Initiatives.....	75
7.3.11	Recommendations – GP Super Clinics Program Objectives.....	75
7.4	Operational Aspect Learnings for the GP Super Clinics Program	76
7.4.1	Program Maturation	76
7.4.2	Leadership and Culture	76
7.4.3	Alignment between Clinical and Business Model	77
7.4.4	Assessing Impact and Outcomes	77
7.4.5	Differences in Organisational Models.....	77
7.4.6	Recommendations – Learnings from the GP Super Clinics Program	78

7.5	Primary Health Care System Learnings	78
7.5.1	Measuring Primary Health Care Performance	79
7.5.2	Medicare Benefits Schedule.....	79
7.5.3	Role of the Universities.....	80
7.6	Strengths and Limitations	81
7.7	Conclusion.....	81
8	Appendices	83
8.1	Appendix 1: GP Super Clinics Program Objectives	83
8.2	Appendix 2: Primary and Secondary Evaluation Questions	85
8.2.1	Implementation Aspect Evaluation Questions.....	85
8.2.2	Establishment Aspect Evaluation Questions.....	85
8.2.3	Operations Aspect Evaluation Questions.....	86
9	References	89

List of Tables

Table 1: Localities Identified as GP Super Clinics in 2007	8
Table 2: Numbers and Proportion of Delays Reported by Funding Recipients and Departmental Staff.....	29
Table 3: Demographic Characteristics of Patient Participants in Survey	37
Table 4: Employment and Health Insurance Characteristics of Patient Participants in Survey..	37
Table 5: Overview of GP Super Clinics Attendances of Patient Participants in Survey	38
Table 6: Demographic Characteristics of Clinician Participants in Survey.....	39
Table 7: Gender and Discipline Profile of Participants in Clinician Site-visit Interviews	40
Table 8: Overview of Numbers and Employment Status of Clinicians*	41
Table 9: Clinicians Ratings of Importance and Extent of Implementation of Key Elements of GP Super Clinics in Providing High Quality care	42
Table 10: Clinicians' Perception of Factors which Support Multi-disciplinary Care.....	43
Table 11: Percentage of Patients Agreeing with Reasons for Attending GP Super Clinic* ..	47
Table 12: Top Six Reasons for Changing to the GP Super Clinic – Survey Comments	48
Table 13: Percentage Patients Reporting Discussion with Clinicians about Preventative Care	50
Table 14: Percentage Clinicians Reporting Discussion with Patients about Preventative Care	50
Table 15: Percentage of Clinicians Reporting Reasons for Working at GP Super Clinics....	52

Disclaimer

This report has been prepared by Consan Consulting. It is provided to the Department of Health and Ageing, GP Super Clinics Branch based on the data collected in the evaluation of the GP Super Clinics Program 2007-2008 undertaken in 2011.

While due care and diligence has been taken, the results, discussion and recommendations in this report rely on the data collected by Consan Consulting, some of which are reliant on self-report of participants and also are reliant on subjective judgement.

Authors

This report has been prepared by Consan Consulting. The authors include:

Robyn Considine	Director Consan Consulting, Newcastle, NSW
John Tozer	Director of Development Management, J G Service PTY LTD Canberra, ACT
Helen Milne	Director Waratah Springs, Canberra, ACT
Mark Chappe	Director, Rider Levett Bucknall, Canberra ACT

Acknowledgements

Consan Consulting acknowledges the contributions of Professor Jenny Reath, Foundation Peter Brennan Chair of General Practice at the University of Western Sydney, Professor Cate D'Este, Professor in Biostatistics at the University of Newcastle and Laureate Professor Rob Sanson-Fisher A.O, Professor of Health Behaviour at the University of Newcastle. Their insights have contributed significantly to the evaluation of the GP Super Clinics Program 2007-2008. We acknowledge the support of the staff of the GP Super Clinics Branch, Department of Health and Ageing in assisting with the requirements for the evaluation. Our appreciation also extends to Dr Gloria Webb for her role in editing this report. Most importantly we would like to thank the GP Super Clinic Directors, the clinicians and the patients, and community stakeholders who have willingly given their time and views, in a variety of ways, for the evaluation.

Ethics Approval

Ethics Committee approval was sought and gained from the Department of Health and Ageing Ethics Committee (Approval Project 11/2011).

