

2022 · Volume 46

# **Communicable Diseases Intelligence**

# Australian Group on Antimicrobial Resistance (AGAR) Australian Gram-negative Surveillance Outcome Program (GnSOP)

Bloodstream Infection Annual Report 2021

Jan M Bell, Alicia Fajardo Lubian, Sally R Partridge, Thomas Gottlieb, Jenny Robson, Jonathan R Iredell, Denise A Daley, Geoffrey W Coombs

https://doi.org/10.33321/cdi.2022.46.78 Electronic publication date: 17/11/2022 http://health.gov.au/cdi

# **Communicable Diseases Intelligence**

ISSN: 2209-6051 Online

This journal is indexed by Index Medicus and Medline.

Creative Commons Licence - Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivatives CC BY-NC-ND

© 2022 Commonwealth of Australia as represented by the Department of Health and Aged Care

This publication is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution-Non-Commercial NoDerivatives 4.0 International Licence from <u>https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/legalcode</u> (Licence). You must read and understand the Licence before using any material from this publication.

#### Restrictions

The Licence does not cover, and there is no permission given for, use of any of the following material found in this publication (if any):

- the Commonwealth Coat of Arms (by way of information, the terms under which the Coat of Arms may be used can be found at www.itsanhonour.gov.au);
- any logos (including the Department of Health and Aged Care's logo) and trademarks;
- any photographs and images;
- any signatures; and
- any material belonging to third parties.

#### Disclaimer

Opinions expressed in Communicable Diseases Intelligence are those of the authors and not necessarily those of the Australian Government Department of Health and Aged Care or the Communicable Diseases Network Australia. Data may be subject to revision.

#### Enquiries

Enquiries regarding any other use of this publication should be addressed to the Communication Branch, Department of Health and Aged Care, GPO Box 9848, Canberra ACT 2601, or via e-mail to: <u>copyright@health.gov.au</u>

#### **Communicable Diseases Network Australia**

Communicable Diseases Intelligence contributes to the work of the Communicable Diseases Network Australia. <u>http://www.health.gov.au/cdna</u>

Communicable Diseases Intelligence (CDI) is a peer-reviewed scientific journal published by the Office of Health Protection and Response, Department of Health and Aged Care. The journal aims to disseminate information on the epidemiology, surveillance, prevention and control of communicable diseases of relevance to Australia.

**Editor** Noel Lally

**Deputy Editor** Simon Petrie

**Design and Production** Kasra Yousefi

#### **Editorial Advisory Board**

David Durrheim, Mark Ferson, John Kaldor, Martyn Kirk and Linda Selvey

#### Website

http://www.health.gov.au/cdi

#### Contacts

CDI is produced by the Office of Health Protection and Response, Australian Government Department of Health and Aged Care, GPO Box 9848, (MDP 6) CANBERRA ACT 2601

Email: cdi.editor@health.gov.au

#### **Submit an Article**

You are invited to submit your next communicable disease related article to the Communicable Diseases Intelligence (CDI) for consideration. More information regarding CDI can be found at: http://health.gov.au/cdi.

Further enquiries should be directed to:

cdi.editor@health.gov.au.



# Annual report

# Australian Group on Antimicrobial Resistance (AGAR) Australian Gram-negative Surveillance Outcome Program (GnSOP)

# Bloodstream Infection Annual Report 2021

Jan M Bell, Alicia Fajardo Lubian, Sally R Partridge, Thomas Gottlieb, Jenny Robson, Jonathan R Iredell, Denise A Daley, Geoffrey W Coombs

# Abstract

The Australian Group on Antimicrobial Resistance (AGAR) performs regular period-prevalence studies to monitor changes in antimicrobial resistance in selected enteric gram-negative pathogens. The 2021 survey was the ninth year to focus on bloodstream infections caused by *Enterobacterales*, and the seventh year where *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* and *Acinetobacter* species were included.

The 2021 survey tested 8,947 isolates, comprising *Enterobacterales* (8,104; 90.6%), *P. aeruginosa* (745; 8.3%) and *Acinetobacter* species (98; 1.1%), using commercial automated methods. The results were analysed using Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute (CLSI) and European Committee on Antimicrobial Susceptibility Testing (EUCAST) breakpoints (January 2022). Of the key resistances, resistance to the third-generation cephalosporin ceftriaxone was found in 12.5%/12.5% (CLSI/ EUCAST criteria) of *Escherichia coli* and in 6.1%/6.1% of *Klebsiella pneumoniae*. Resistance rates to ciprofloxacin were 12.3%/12.3% for *E. coli*; 7.2%/7.2% for *K. pneumoniae*; 5.4%/5.4% for *Enterobacter cloacae* complex; and 3.7%/8.0% for *P. aeruginosa*. Resistance rates to piperacillin-tazobactam were 2.8%/6.5%; 2.9%/9.9%; 18.4%/28.1%; and 6.9%/12.8% for the same four species, respectively. Seventeen *Enterobacterales* isolates from 17 patients were shown to harbour a carbapenemase gene: 12 *bla*<sub>IMP-4</sub>; two *bla*<sub>NDM-7</sub>; one *bla*<sub>NDM-1</sub>; one *bla*<sub>OXA-181</sub>; and one *bla*<sub>KPC-2</sub>. No transmissible carbapenemase genes were detected among *P. aeruginosa* or *Acinetobacter* isolates in the 2021 survey.

Keywords: Australian Group on Antimicrobial Resistance (AGAR); antimicrobial resistance; bacteraemia; gram-negative; *Escherichia coli*; *Enterobacter*; *Klebsiella* 

# Introduction

Emerging resistance in common pathogenic members of the *Enterobacterales* is a worldwide phenomenon and presents therapeutic problems, both in the community and in hospital practice. The Australian Group on Antimicrobial Resistance (AGAR) commenced surveillance of the key gram-negative pathogens, *Escherichia coli* and *Klebsiella* species, in 1992. Surveys were conducted biennially until 2008 when annual surveys commenced, alternating between community- and hospital-onset infections.<sup>i</sup> In 2004 *Enterobacter*, another genus of gram-negative pathogens in which resistance can be of clinical importance, was added. *Escherichia coli* is the most common cause of community-onset urinary tract infection; *Klebsiella* species are less common but are known to harbour important resistances. *Enterobacter* species are

i http://www.agargroup.org.au/agar-surveys.

less common in the community, but of high importance due to intrinsic resistance to firstline antimicrobials used in that setting. Taken together, these three groups of species surveyed are valuable sentinels for multi-resistance and emerging resistance in enteric gramnegative bacilli. In 2013 AGAR commenced the Enterobacterales Sepsis Outcome Program (EnSOP) which focused on the collection of resistance data and some demographic data on all isolates collected prospectively from patients with bacteraemia. In 2015, Pseudomonas aeruginosa and Acinetobacter species were added, with the program then referred to as the Gramnegative Sepsis Outcome Program (GnSOP), since renamed the Gram-negative Surveillance Outcome Program.

Resistance to  $\beta$ -lactams due to  $\beta$ -lactamases, especially extended-spectrum  $\beta$ -lactamases that inactivate the third-generation cephalosporins normally considered reserve antimicrobials, is of particular interest. Resistance to agents important for treatment of serious infections, such as gentamicin, and to reserve agents such as ciprofloxacin and meropenem, is also of interest.

The objectives of the 2021 surveillance program were:

- to monitor resistance in *Enterobacterales*, *P. aeruginosa* and *Acinetobacter* species isolated from blood cultures taken from patients presenting to the hospital or already in hospital;
- to examine the extent of co-resistance and multidrug resistance in the major species;
- to detect emerging resistance to reserve agents such as carbapenems and colistin; and
- to examine the molecular basis of resistance to third-generation cephalosporins, quinolones and carbapenems.

# Methods

### Study design

From 1 January to 31 December 2021, thirty laboratories servicing 48 hospitals across Australia, including four private hospitals and 11 regional or district hospitals from north-west Western Australia, collected either all or up to 200 isolates from different patient episodes of bacteraemia.

# **Species identification**

Isolates were identified using the routine method at each institution: Vitek<sup>®</sup>, Phoenix<sup>TM</sup> automated microbiology systems or, where available, matrix assisted laser desorption/ ionisation – time of flight (MALDI-ToF) mass spectrometry.

# Susceptibility testing

Testing was performed by two commercial semiautomated methods, Vitek<sup>®</sup> 2 (BioMérieux, France) or Phoenix<sup>™</sup> (Becton Dickinson, USA), which are calibrated to the International Organization for Standardization (ISO) reference standard method of broth microdilution. Commercially available Vitek AST-N246, Vitek AST N-410 or Phoenix NMIC-422 cards were utilised by all participants throughout the survey period. The CLSI M100 and EUCAST v12.0 breakpoints from January 2022 have been employed in the analysis.<sup>1,2</sup>

# **Multidrug resistance**

The definitions used by Magiorakos et al. were applied in this survey,<sup>3</sup> where multidrug resistance (MDR) was defined as resistance to one or more agent in three or more antimicrobial categories. For each species, antimicrobials were excluded from the count if they are affected by natural resistance mechanisms.

### Whole genome sequencing

The following isolates were referred to a central laboratory (Centre for Infectious Diseases and Microbiology, The Westmead Institute for Medical Research):

- E. coli, Klebsiella spp., Proteus spp. and Salmonella spp. with ceftazidime or ceftriaxone minimum inhibitory concentration (MIC) > 1 mg/L, or cefoxitin MIC > 8 mg/L;
- any other *Enterobacterales* with cefepime MIC > 1 mg/L;
- Salmonella spp. with ciprofloxacin MIC > 0.25 mg/L;
- Enterobacterales with meropenem MIC > 0.125 mg/L (> 0.25 if tested using Vitek);
- *P. aeruginosa* or *Acinetobacter* spp. with meropenem MIC > 4 mg/L;
- any isolate with amikacin MIC > 32 mg/L;
- and any isolate with colistin MIC < 4 mg/L (except those with intrinsic resistance to colistin).

All referred isolates underwent whole genome sequencing (WGS).

Genomic DNA for WGS was extracted using the DNeasy<sup>®</sup> Blood & Tissue Kit (Qiagen) according to the manufacturer's instructions for gram-negative bacteria. WGS was performed by the Antimicrobial Resistance Laboratory, Microbial Genomics Reference Laboratory, Centre for Infectious Diseases and Microbiology Laboratory Services (CIDMLS), Institute of Clinical Pathology and Medical Research (ICPMR), Westmead Hospital using the Illumina NextSeq<sup>TM</sup> 500 platform. Data were analysed using a modification of the Nullarbor bioinformatic pipeline,<sup>4</sup> incorporating searching contigs against the NCBI AMRFinder database<sup>ii</sup> using ABRicate<sup>5</sup> and AMRFinder,<sup>6</sup> followed by a custom AMR-specific pipeline which includes a read-based search using ARIBA<sup>7</sup> against the CARD<sup>8</sup> and NCBI databases. Ambiguities and potential multiple gene copies/variants were checked manually by mapping reads to reference genes<sup>iii</sup> using Geneious.

# Results

The species isolated, and the numbers of each, are listed in Table 1. Enterobacterales accounted for 90.6%, followed by P. aeruginosa (8.3%) and Acinetobacter species (1.1%). In the Enterobacterales, 87.6% of all isolates belonged to three genera: Escherichia (61.4%); Klebsiella (20.5%); and Enterobacter (5.7%). Major resistances and non-susceptibilities for the top six ranked species are listed in Table 2. We utilised non-susceptibility as an epidemiological tool to provide important information about emerging acquired resistance, recognising that even though some of these isolates remain within therapeutic range for specific antibiotics, these isolates tend to be divergent from the wild-type distribution. In addition to resistant isolates, isolates categorised as intermediate according to CLSI or 'sensitive, increased exposure' according to EUCAST were included as nonsusceptible. Multiple acquired resistances by species are shown in Table 3. About one fifth of E. coli isolates (19.0%), 6.2% of K. pneumoniae complex isolates, and 7.1% of *E. cloacae* complex isolates would be considered multi-drug resistant. A more detailed breakdown of resistance and non-susceptibility by state and territory is provided in the online GnSOP 2021 report.<sup>iv</sup>

iii https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pathogens/isolates#/refgene/.

iv https://agargroup.org.au/agar-surveys/.

ii https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/bioproject/PRJNA313047.

#### Table 1: Number and proportion of species isolated, blood cultures, AGAR, 2021

		Onset setting, J	percentage (n)
Species	Percentage (n)	Community onset	Hospital onset
Escherichia coli	55.5 (4,969)	85.0 (4,225)	15.0 (744)
Klebsiella pneumoniae complex	13.9 (1,247)	71.5 (891)	28.5 (356)
Pseudomonas aeruginosa	8.3 (745)	60.8 (453)	39.2 (292)
Enterobacter cloacae complex	5.0 (450)	56.4 (254)	43.6 (196)
Proteus mirabilis	3.5 (314)	87.3 (274)	12.7 (40)
Klebsiella oxytoca	3.0 (265)	69.1 (183)	30.9 (82)
Serratia marcescens	2.3 (202)	54.5 (110)	45.5 (92)
Klebsiella aerogenes	1.3 (119)	62.2 (74)	37.8 (45)
Citrobacter koseri	1.1 (94)	81.9 (77)	18.1 (17)
Citrobacter freundii complex	1.0 (88)	63.6 (56)	36.4 (32)
Morganella morganii	1.0 (88)	70.5 (62)	29.5 (26)
Salmonella species (non-typhoidal)	0.9 (81)	98.8 (80)	1.2 (1)
Acinetobacter baumannii complex	0.5 (48)	60.4 (29)	39.6 (19)
Raoultella ornithinolytica	0.3 (28)	67.9 (19)	32.1 (9)
Klebsiella species <sup>a</sup>	0.3 (26)	69.2 (18)	30.8 (8)
Enterobacter species <sup>a</sup>	0.2 (16)	56.3 (9)	43.8 (7)
Providencia rettgeri	0.1 (13)	69.2 (9)	30.8 (4)
Serratia liquefaciens complex	0.1 (12)	83.3 (10)	16.7 (2)
Pantoea agglomerans	0.1 (12)	75.0 (9)	25.0 (3)
Acinetobacter lwoffii	0.1 (11)	90.9 (10)	9.1 (1)
Acinetobacter species <sup>a</sup>	0.1 (11)	90.9 (10)	9.1 (1)
Acinetobacter ursingii	0.1 (10)	60.0 (6)	40.0 (4)
Other species (total $n = 35$ )	1.1 (98)	72.4 (71)	27.6 (27)
Total	8,947	77.6 (6,939)	22.4 (2,008)

a Species not determined.

# Escherichia coli

The moderately high levels of resistance to ampicillin (and therefore amoxicillin) observed were at similar levels to the 2020 survey (2021: 51.4%/53.2%; versus 2020: 51.4%/53.1%, CLSI/ EUCAST criteria), with the same lower rates for amoxicillin-clavulanic acid (12.6%/– intermediate, 7.7%/– resistant). Non-susceptibility to third generation cephalosporins was also maintained (ceftriaxone 12.6%/12.6%,

ceftazidime 6.3%/13.2%) versus the degree of non-susceptibility found in 2020. Moderate levels of resistance to cefazolin (23.1%/23.1%) and trimethoprim–sulfamethoxazole (29.5%/29.5%) were detected. Ciprofloxacin non-susceptibility was found in 16.6%/16.6% of *E. coli* isolates, 2.8 percentage points lower than the 2020 survey. Resistance to gentamicin (7.9%/8.6%), piperacillin-tazobactam (2.8%/6.5%), and cefepime (2.6%/3.6%) was low. Four isolates (0.1%) had elevated meropenem MICs ( $\geq$  0.5 mg/L). Table 2: Non-susceptibility and resistance rates for the top six ranked species tested, AGAR, 2021

Antimicrobial	Category <sup>a</sup>	Ce E	E. coli (%) <sup>b</sup>	K. pneumon (9	oniae <b>complex</b> (%) <sup>b</sup>	Р. аего (9	P. aeruginosa (%) <sup>b</sup>	E. cloaco	E. cloacae <b>complex</b> (%) <sup>b</sup>	P. m. (9	P. mirabilis (%) <sup>b</sup>	К. 0 С	K. oxytoca (%) <sup>b</sup>
		CLSI	EUCAST	CLSI	EUCAST	CLSI	EUCAST	CLSI	EUCAST	CLSI	EUCAST	CLSI	EUCAST
Ampicillin	В	51.4	53.2	U	J	na	na	J	J	14.7	15.4	U	U
Amoxicillin-clavulanic acid (2:1)	R	7.7	q	3.8	q	na	na	U	U	1.8	q	7.4	q
Piperacillin-tazobactam	Я	2.8	6.5	2.9	9.9	6.9	12.8	18.4	28.1	0.0	0.3	9.1	11.0
Cefazolin	Я	23.1	23.1	10.0	10.0	na	na	J	J	19.2	19.2	52.4	52.4
Cefoxitin	Я	3.2	/	4.4	1	na	na	J	U	0.3	/	1:1	/
Ceftriaxone	NS	12.6	12.6	6.1	6.1	na	na	27.0	27.0	2.2	2.2	7.6	7.6
Ceftazidime	NS	6.3	13.2	5.3	7.7	9.8	9.8 (e)	23.7	26.8	1.3	2.6	0.8	2.3
Cefepime	NS	4.6	9.3	2.7	5.2	6.3	6.3 (e)	6.5	13.4	2.2	2.2	0.0	0.8
Meropenem	NS	< 0.1	< 0.1	0.6	0.4	4.6 (e)	2.3 (e)	2.9	2.2	0.0	0.0	0.4	0.4
Ciprofloxacin	NS	16.6	16.6	9.4	9.4	8.0	8.0 (e)	7.6	7.6	5.8	5.8	1.1	1.1
Gentamicin	Я	7.9	8.6	3.6	3.7	1.4	na	5.4	6.0	2.6	10.6	1:1	1.1
Trimethoprim— sulfamethoxazole	R	29.5	29.4	13.0	12.5	na	na	16.2	16.0	16.1	16.1	4.9	4.9
Nitrofurantoin	Я	0.6	0.6	36.2	1	na	na	10.8	1	U	U	0.0	1
<ul> <li>R: resistant; NS: non-susceptible (intermediate (CLSI) or susceptible, increased exposure (EUCAST) + resistant), using criteria as published by the CLSI [2022] and EUCAST [2022].</li> <li>-: no category defined; /: no breakpoints defined; na: not applicable (testing not recommended).</li> <li>Considered largely intrinsically resistant.</li> <li>For EUCAST interpretation, clavulanic acid is fixed at 2 mg/L, rather than the 2:1 ratio of amoxicillin to clavulanic acid used in CLSI guidelines. As 90% of pathology services (27/30) used susceptibility test cards with a 2:1 ratio of clavulanate, no EUCAST category has been applied.</li> <li>Percent resistant.</li> </ul>	ssceptible (interr 3; /: no breakpoint rinsically resistant rition, clavulanic ac of clavulanate, no	nediate (CL5 ts defined; r t. cid is fixed a cid is fixed a EUCAST cat	sl) or susceptibl na: not applicak at 2 mg/L, rathe tegory has beer	e, increased ε ble (testing nc r than the 2:1 n applied.	exposure (EUCAST) + resistant), using criteria as published by the CLSI [2022] and EUCAST [2022] not recommended). .:1 ratio of amoxicillin to clavulanic acid used in CLSI guidelines. As 90% of pathology services (27/	ot) + resistar 3). Illin to clavu	rt), using criteri: lanic acid used i	a as publish in CLSI guid	ed by the CLSI [, elines. As 90% o	2022] and EU f pathology :	ICAST [2022]. services (27/30)	) used suscep	stibility test

health.gov.au/cdi

Table 3: Multiple acquired resistances by species, AGAR, 2021

					Number of acquired resistances (EUCAST breakpoints) $^{\mathfrak{a},\mathfrak{b}}$	quired resi	stances (EU	ICAST break	kpoints) <sup>a,b</sup>			
			Non multi-	Non multi-drug resistant	nt			Z	Multi-drug resistant	sistant		
Species	Total	0	-	2	Cumulative %	æ	4	5	9	7	8	Cumulative %
:: : : :	4,878	2,052	1,010	887		443	287	153	41	S	0	
E. COII	%	42.1	20.7	18.2	81.0	1.6	5.9	3.1	0.8	0.1	0.0	19.0
Jul muse of a constant	1,236	696	113	77		28	17	29	2	1	na	
N. pneumoniae complex	%	78.4	1.6	6.2	93.8	2.3	1.4	2.3	0.2	0.1		6.2
	440	266	55	85		13	5	6	7	na	na	
E. Cloacae complex.	%	60.5	12.5	19.3	92.3	3.0	1.1	2.0	1.6			7.7
	307	218	45	26		10	4	ĸ	1	0	0	
r. mirabilis	%	71.0	14.7	8.5	94.1	3.3	1.3	1.0	0.3	0.0	0.0	5.9
June 1	264	223	17	21		2	0	-	0	0	na	
n. uxytuca	%	84.5	6.4	8.0	6'86	0.8	0.0	0.4	0.0	0.0		1.1
والمستعمدين والمساوية	80	77	0	2		1	0	0	0	0	na	
sainnonany species (inoit-typilolidal) <sup>-</sup>	%	96.3	0.0	2.5	98.8	1.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		1.3
	160	48	82	27		-	2	0	0	0	na	
o. Illarcesceris	%	30.0	51.3	16.9	98.1	0.6	1.3	0.0	0.0	0.0		1.9
	119	66	9	41		4	1	1	0	na	na	
n. derugenes	%	55.5	5.0	34.5	95.0	3.4	0.8	0.8	0.0			5.0
a Antimicrobial categories (agents) included: aminoglycosides (gentamicin or tobramycin); antipseudomonal penicillins + B-lactamase inhibitor (piperacillin-tazobactam); carbapenems (meropenem);	) included:	aminoglycosid	les (gentamicin	n or tobramycin	I); antipseudomona	I penicillins +	+ β-lactamase	inhibitor (pip	veracillin-tazc	obactam); carb	bapenems (m	neropenem);

extended-spectrum cephalosporins (ceftriaxone or ceftazidime); cephamycin); fluoroquinolones (ciprofloxacin); folate pathway inhibitors (trimethoprim–sulfamethoxazole); and penicillins (ampicillins).

na: not applicable. مەمە

Antimicrobial categories excluded: penicillins. Antimicrobial categories excluded: penicillins, cephamycins. Antimicrobial categories excluded: aminoglycosides.

For the strains with an extended spectrum  $\beta$ -lactamase (ESBL) phenotype, ciprofloxacin and gentamicin resistance was found in 50.1%/50.1% and 30.1%/31.1% respectively.

Most of the referred *E. coli* with an ESBL phenotype (628/659; 95.3%) harboured an Ambler class A ESBL gene (507/628, 80.7%); a plasmid borne class C gene (pAmpC) (103/628; 16.4%); both an ESBL and pAmpC gene (17/628; 2.7%); or both an ESBL and a carbapenemase gene (1/628; 0.2%). The dominant  $\beta$ -lactamase genes in E. coli were bla<sub>CTX-M</sub> types, as found previously. Of 628 E. coli isolates with a confirmed  $\beta$ -lactamase gene, 524 (83.4%) had one or more  $\mathit{bla}_{\rm \scriptscriptstyle CTX-M}$  genes detected by WGS, either  $\mathit{bla}_{\rm \scriptscriptstyle CTX-M}$ group 9 (n = 265);  $bla_{CTX-M}$  group 1 (n = 253); both  $bla_{CTX-M}$  group 1 and group 9 (n = 4); or a *bla*<sub>CTX-M</sub> group 1/9/1 hybrid (*n* = 2). Of 120 *E. coli* isolates with pAmpC, 66 (55.0%) harboured  $bla_{\text{DHA-1}}$ ; 52 (43.3%) harboured a  $bla_{\text{CMY-2}}$ -like gene; and two (1.7%) harboured both  $bla_{DHA-1}$ and a *bla*<sub>CMY-2</sub>-like gene.

#### Klebsiella pneumoniae complex

*K. pneumoniae* complex isolates showed slightly higher levels of resistance to piperacillin-tazobactam than E. coli, but showed lower rates of resistance to amoxicillin-clavulanic acid, cefazolin, ceftriaxone, ciprofloxacin, gentamicin, and trimethoprim-sulfamethoxazole. Eleven K. pneumoniae complex isolates (0.9%) had elevated meropenem MICs (see below). Most of the referred K. pneumoniae complex isolates with an ESBL phenotype (81/94; 86.2%) harboured an ESBL gene (57/81; 70.4%), a pAmpC gene (17/81; 21.0%), or a carbapenemase gene (4/81; 4.9%) alone; or both an ESBL and a pAmpC gene (2/81; 2.5%); or both an ESBL and a carbapenemase gene (1/81, 1.2%). The majority of ESBL genes (57/60; 95.0%) were  $bla_{\rm CTX-M}$ types, mostly  $bla_{CTX-M}$  group 1 (46/54; 86.0%) or both  $bla_{\text{CTX-M}}$  group 1 and  $bla_{\text{SHV-27}}$  (3; 5.0%). The only pAmpC gene detected in K. pneumo*niae* complex isolates was  $bla_{DHA,1}$  (19/19).

#### Enterobacter cloacae complex

Acquired resistance was common among *E. cloacae* complex isolates, to piperacillin-tazobactam (18.4%/28.1%); ceftriaxone (27.0%/27.0%); and ceftazidime (23.7%/26.8%). There was a moderate level of resistance to gentamicin (12.6%/13.6%) and trimethoprim–sulfamethoxazole (16.2%/16.0%); cefepime and ciprofloxacin resistance remained at less than 10%. Although *E. cloacae* complex isolates are generally more resistant than *E. coli* to  $\beta$ -lactam antimicrobials, resistance rates to non- $\beta$ -lactams tend to be lower. Eighteen *E. cloacae* complex isolates (4.0%) had elevated meropenem MICs.

#### **Carbapenemase genes**

Overall, 17 isolates (17 patients) from 13 hospitals from five states/territories were found to harbour a carbapenemase gene. Twelve isolates harboured  $bla_{IMP-4}$ : *E. cloacae* complex (six), *K. pneumoniae* (two), *K. michiganensis* (one), *K. oxytoca* (one), *K. variicola* (one) and *E. coli* (one). *The*  $bla_{NDM-7}$  gene was detected in one *E. cloacae* complex isolate and one *K. pneumoniae* complex isolate;  $bla_{NDM-1}$  was detected in one *E. cloacae* complex isolate. The  $bla_{OXA-181}$  gene was detected in one *K. variicola* isolate. The  $bla_{KPC-2}$  gene was detected in one *K. pneumoniae* isolate. No carbapenemase genes were detected among *Acinetobacter* or *P. aeruginosa* in the 2021 survey.

#### Plasmid-borne colistin determinants

The only *mcr* genes detected among referred isolates were *mcr-9* (n = 14), which is not associated with a colistin resistant phenotype but is typically found on HI2 plasmids that may carry *bla*<sub>IMP-4</sub>, and *mcr-10* (n = 1).

#### Discussion

AGAR has been tracking resistance in sentinel enteric gram-negative bacteria since 1992. From 2008, surveillance was segregated into hospitalonset versus community-onset infections. The last year of hospital-onset only surveillance was 2011.<sup>9</sup> In 2013, the first survey of antimicrobial resistance among *Enterobacterales* isolates from bacteraemic patients throughout Australia was conducted using an approach similar to the European EARS-Net program. The 2021 survey was the ninth of antimicrobial resistance among *Enterobacterales*, and the seventh for *P. aeruginosa* and *Acinetobacter* spp. from bacteraemic patients throughout Australia.

The percentage of resistance in *E. coli* in 2021 was similar to that seen in 2020 for all antimicrobial agents tested, except for ciprofloxacin, where a 23.3% decrease in resistance was seen. For *K. pneumoniae* complex, the percentage of resistance in 2021, relative to 2020, decreased by more than 25% for ceftriaxone, ceftazidime, gentamicin and ciprofloxacin.

AGAR data show a longitudinal trend of increasing *E. coli* resistance to key anti-gram-negative antimicrobial agents, such as ceftriaxone and ciprofloxacin, although both have stabilised since 2019. The steady rise in resistance to fluoroquinolones in *E. coli* is more striking in hospital-onset bacteraemia, with a change from 13.7% to 21.8% between 2013 and 2020; in 2021 resistance fell to 16.7%.

Carbapenem resistance attributable to acquired carbapenemase genes is still uncommon in patients with bacteraemia in Australia. Four different types ( $bla_{IMP}$  [12],  $bla_{NDM}$  [3],  $bla_{OXA-48}$ -like [1], and  $bla_{KPC-2}$  [1]) were detected in 17 isolates from 13 of the participating hospitals. Compared with many other countries in our region, antimicrobial resistance rates in Australian gram-negative bacteria are still relatively low,<sup>10</sup> but similar to those observed in 2020 in many Northern European countries.<sup>11,12</sup> Resistance to third-generation cephalosporins in *E. coli* from bacteraemic patients in Australia is similar to the European Union and European Economic Area average.<sup>12</sup>

Just under one-fifth of *E. coli* would be classed as MDR, a proportion little changed from the 2020 survey. The proportion of *K. pneumoniae*  complex isolates classed as MDR fell to 6.2% in 2021, the lowest level recorded since the GnSOP surveys commenced.

The impact of COVID-19 on antimicrobial resistance remains unclear and may be influenced by a number of contributing factors. A combination of COVID-19-related travel restrictions on incoming travellers throughout much of 2020 and 2021, and an increasing awareness of and utilization of antimicrobial stewardship as part of the National Safety and Quality Health Service Standards 3 implementation and accreditation Australia-wide,<sup>13</sup> may have reduced some resistance, particularly for ESBLs.

Pharmaceutical Benefits Scheme (PBS) data indicate that the COVID-19 pandemic had a profound impact on antimicrobial use in 2020, with a 40% drop in antimicrobials dispensed between March and April in 2020, with use remaining at this lower level for the rest of the year.<sup>14</sup> It is also possible that PBS policy changes (effective from 1 April 2020) contributed to this drop, as repeat prescriptions and maximum quantities were restricted for the five most commonly dispensed antimicrobials: amoxicillin, amoxicillin–clavulanic acid, cefalexin, doxycycline and roxithromycin. In 2020, there was also a change in policy to stop repeats on key antibiotics.<sup>15</sup>

It is also possible that a reduction in elective surgery and, related to this, in post-surgical bloodstream infections, may have occurred during 2020 and 2021.

Future AGAR surveys will help determine if the observed reduction in resistance rates is sustained.

# Acknowledgments

This study was funded by the Australian Government Department of Health and Aged Care. AGAR gratefully acknowledges the Antimicrobial Resistance Laboratory, Microbial Genomics Reference Laboratory, CIDMLS, ICPMR, Westmead Hospital (Jenny Draper, Elena Martinez and Andrew Ginn) for performing whole genome sequencing.

Members of AGAR in 2021 were:

**Australian Capital Territory** 

Peter Collignon and Susan Bradbury, Canberra Hospital

**New South Wales** 

Alison Kesson and Andrew Jarrett, Children's Hospital Westmead

Thomas Gottlieb and John Huynh, Concord Hospital

Hemalatha Varadhan and Bree Harris, John Hunter Hospital

Michael Maley and Helen Ziochos, Liverpool Hospital

James Branley and Linda Douglass, Nepean Hospital

Angela Wong, Royal North Shore Hospital

David Lorenz, St Vincent's Hospital Sydney

Monica Lahra and Peter Huntington, Sydney Children's Hospital

Jonathan Iredell and Andrew Ginn, Westmead Hospital

Peter Newton and Melissa Hoddle, Wollongong Hospital

**Northern Territory** 

James McLeod, Alice Springs Hospital

Rob Baird and Jann Hennessy, Royal Darwin Hospital

Queensland

Claire Heney and Narelle George, Pathology Queensland Central Laboratory, Royal Brisbane and Women's Hospital

Petra Derrington and Cheryl Curtis, Pathology Queensland Gold Coast University Hospital

Robert Horvath and Laura Martin, Pathology Queensland Prince Charles Hospital

Naomi Runnegar and Joel Douglas, Pathology Queensland Princess Alexandra Hospital

Jennifer Robson and Marianne Allen, Sullivan Nicolaides Pathology

South Australia

Kelly Papanaoum and Xiao Ming Chen, SA Pathology, Flinders Medical Centre

Morgyn Warner and Kija Smith, SA Pathology, Royal Adelaide Hospital and Women's and Children's Hospital

Tasmania

Pankaja Kalukottege and Kathy Wilcox, Launceston General Hospital

Louise Cooley and David Jones, Royal Hobart Hospital

Victoria

Adam Jenney and Jacqueline Williams, Alfred Hospital

Marcel Leroi and Elizabeth Grabsch, Austin Health

Tony Korman, Despina Kotsanas and Kathryn Cisera, Monash Health, Dandenong Hospital, Monash Children's Hospital, Monash Medical Centre

Katherine Bond and Rose Cotronei, Royal Melbourne Hospital

Andrew Daley and Gena Gonis, Royal Women's and Children's Hospital

Amy Crowe and Lisa Brenton, St Vincent's Hospital

Western Australia

Shalinie Perera and Ian Meyer, Western Diagnostic Pathology, Joondalup Hospital

Denise Daley, PathWest Laboratory Medicine WA, Fiona Stanley Hospital

Christopher Blyth, PathWest Laboratory Medicine WA, Perth Children's Hospital

Ronan Murray and Jacinta Bowman, PathWest Laboratory Medicine WA, Sir Charles Gairdner Hospital

Michael Leung, PathWest Laboratory Medicine WA, north-west regional WA

Owen Robinson and Geoffrey Coombs, PathWest Laboratory Medicine WA, Royal Perth Hospital

Sudha Pottumarthy-Boddu and Jacqueline Foster, Australian Clinical Laboratories, St John of God Hospital Murdoch

# Author details

Ms Jan M Bell<sup>1</sup>

Dr Alicia Fajardo Lubian<sup>2,3</sup>

A/Prof. Sally R Partridge<sup>2,3,4</sup>

A/Prof. Thomas Gottlieb<sup>3,5</sup>

Dr Jenny Robson<sup>6</sup>

Prof. Jonathan R Iredell<sup>2,3,4</sup>

Ms Denise A Daley<sup>7</sup>

Prof. Geoffrey W Coombs<sup>8,9</sup>

- 1. Australian Group on Antimicrobial Resistance, Adelaide, South Australia, Australia
- 2. Westmead Institute for Medical Research, Westmead, New South Wales, Australia
- 3. The University of Sydney, New South Wales, Australia
- 4. Westmead Hospital, Westmead, New South Wales, Australia
- 5. Department of Microbiology and Infectious Diseases, Concord Hospital, Concord, New South Wales, Australia
- 6. Microbiology and Infectious Diseases, Sullivan Nicolaides Pathology, Bowen Hills, Queensland
- 7. Australian Group on Antimicrobial Resistance, Fiona Stanley Hospital, Murdoch, Western Australia, Australia
- 8. Antimicrobial Resistance and Infectious Diseases (AMRID) Research Laboratory, Murdoch University, Murdoch, Western Australia, Australia
- 9. Department of Microbiology, PathWest Laboratory Medicine-WA, Fiona Stanley Hospital, Murdoch, Western Australia, Australia

**Corresponding Author** 

A/Prof. Thomas Gottlieb Department of Microbiology and Infectious Diseases, Concord Hospital, Concord, New South Wales, Australia Telephone: (02) 9767 7533 Email: thomas.gottlieb@health.nsw.gov.au

# References

- 1. Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute (CLSI). *Performance standards for antimicrobial susceptibility testing*. 32nd ed. CLSI supplement M100. Wayne, PA: CLSI; 16 February 2022.
- 2. The European Committee on Antimicrobial Susceptibility Testing (EUCAST). *Breakpoint tables for interpretation of MICs and zone diameters. Version 12.0.* Basel: EUCAST; 1 January 2022. Available from: https://www.eucast.org/fileadmin/src/media/PDFs/EUCAST\_files/Breakpoint\_tables/v\_12.0\_Breakpoint\_Tables.pdf.
- 3. Magiorakos AP, Srinivasan A, Carey RB, Carmeli Y, Falagas ME, Giske CG et al. Multidrug-resistant, extensively drug-resistant and pandrug-resistant bacteria: an international expert proposal for interim standard definitions for acquired resistance. *Clin Microbiol Infect.* 2012;18(3):268–81. doi: https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1469-0691.2011.03570.x.
- 4. Seemann T, Goncalves da Silva A, Bulach DM, Schultz MB, Kwong JC, Howden BP. *Nullarbor*. San Francisco: Github; 2020. Available from: https://github.com/tseemann/nullarbor.
- 5. Seemann T. *Abricate*. San Francisco: Github; 2020. Available from: https://github.com/tseemann/ abricate.
- 6. National Center for Biotechnology Information (NCBI). AMRFinderPlus. [Website.] Bethesda; United States National Library of Medicine, NCBI: 2020. Available from: https://ncbi.nlm.nih. gov/pathogens/antimicrobial-resistance/AMRFinder/.
- 7. Hunt M, Mather AE, Sánchez-Busó L, Page AJ, Parkhill J, Keane JA et al. ARIBA: rapid antimicrobial resistance genotyping directly from sequencing reads. *Microb Genom.* 2017;3(10):e000131. doi: https://doi.org/10.1099/mgen.0.000131.
- 8. Alcock BP, Raphenya AR, Lau TTY, Tsang KK, Bouchard M, Edalatmand A et al. CARD 2020: antibiotic resistome surveillance with the comprehensive antibiotic resistance database. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 2020;48(D1):D517–25. doi: https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkz935.
- 9. Turnidge JD, Gottlieb T, Mitchell D, Pearson J, Bell JM, on behalf of the Australian Group for Antimicrobial Resistance (AGAR). *Gram-negative Survey: 2011 Antimicrobial Susceptibility Report*. AGAR; 2012. Available from: http://agargroup.org.au/wp-content/uploads/2017/08/AGAR-GNB11-Report-FINAL.pdf.
- 10. Sheng WH, Badal RE, Hsueh PR, on behalf of the SMART Program. Distribution of extended-spectrum ß-lactamases, AmpC ß-lactamases, and carbapenemases among Enterobacteriaceae isolates causing intra-abdominal infections in the Asia-Pacific region: results of the study for Monitoring Antimicrobial Resistance Trends (SMART). *Antimicrob Agents Chemother*. 2013;57(7):2981–8. doi: https://doi.org/10.1128/AAC.00971-12.
- 11. World Health Organization (WHO) Regional Office for Europe/European Centre for Disease Prevention and Control (ECDC). *Antimicrobial resistance surveillance in Europe 2022 – 2020 data*. Copenhagen: WHO Regional Office for Europe; 26 January 2022. Available from: https:// apps.who.int/iris/handle/10665/351141.

- 12. ECDC. Data from the ECDC Surveillance Atlas Antimicrobial resistance. [Webpage.] Solna: ECDC; 2022. Available from: https://www.ecdc.europa.eu/en/antimicrobial-resistance/surveil-lance-and-disease-data/data-ecdc.
- 13. Australian Commission on Safety and Quality in Health Care (ASCQHC). *National Safety and Quality Health Service Standards*. 2nd ed; updated May 2021. Sydney: ACSQHC; May 2021. Available from: https://www.safetyandquality.gov.au/publications-and-resources/resource-library/national-safety-and-quality-health-service-standards-second-edition.
- 14. ACSQHC. AURA 2021: fourth Australian report on antimicrobial use and resistance in human health. Sydney: ACSQHC; 27 August 2021. Available from: https://www.safetyandquality.gov.au/our-work/antimicrobial-resistance/antimicrobial-use-and-resistance-australia-surveillance-system/aura-2021.
- 15. Australian Government Department of Health and Aged Care. PBS News. Revised PBS listings for antibiotic use from 1 April 2020. [Internet.] Canberra: Australian Government Department of Health and Aged Care; 20 March 2020. Available from: https://www.pbs.gov.au/info/ news/2020/03/revised\_pbs\_listings\_for\_antibiotic\_use\_from\_1\_april\_2020.